



DRAFT

2022

REGION 3

TRINITY REGIONAL FLOOD PLAN

Prepared for the Region 3 Trinity Regional
Flood Planning Group

July 2022

TECHNICAL CONSULTANT TEAM

Halff Associates, Inc. (Prime)

Freese and Nichols, Inc.

Nick Fang, Ph.D., P.E.

H2O Partners

Cooksey Communications

REGION 3 TRINITY
REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP

DRAFT 2022 Region 3 Trinity Regional Flood Plan July 2022

Prepared for the Region 3 Trinity Regional Flood Planning Group

Preliminary – For Interim Review Only

These documents are for interim review and not intended for construction, bidding or permit purposes. They were prepared by, or under the supervision of:

Stephanie W. Griffin, P.E., CFM
Halff Associates, Inc
TBPELS Firm No. F-312

Jarred Overbey, P.E., CFM
Halff Associates, Inc
TBPELS Firm No. F-312

David Rivera, Ph.D., P.E., CFM
Freese and Nichols, Inc
TBPELS Firm No. F-2144

Caroline E. Short, P.E., CFM
Freese and Nichols, Inc
TBPELS Firm No. F-2144

Nick Z. Fang, Ph.D., P.E.
P.E. License # 109861

Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	ES-1
Chapters Included in the Plan	ES-2
Key Findings and Recommendations	ES-5
Existing and Future Flood Risks	ES-5
Identification and Selection of Recommended Floodplain Management and Flood Mitigation Actions	ES-11
Cost of the Recommended Plan	ES-13
Public Participation and Outreach.....	ES-16
Texas Administrative Code Guiding Principles and Required Statements	ES-16
Statements Regarding Texas Open Meetings Act and Public Information Act Requirements.....	ES-17
Chapter 1: Planning Area Description.....	1-1
Origins of the State Flood Planning Process.....	1-1
Overview of the Planning Process	1-2
Characterizing the Trinity Region	1-5
Flood-Prone Areas and Flood Risks to Life and Property	1-24
Key Historical Flood Events	1-26
Political Subdivisions with Flood-Related Authority	1-35
Assessment of Existing Flood Infrastructure	1-41
Constructed Flood Infrastructure/Structural Protections.....	1-48
Non-Functional/Deficient Flood Mitigation Features/Condition and Functionality of Infrastructure and Other Flood Mitigation Features	1-56
Proposed or Ongoing Flood Mitigation Projects	1-60
Chapter 2: Flood Risk Analyses	2-6
Task 2A – Existing Condition Flood Risk Analyses.....	2-2
Existing Condition Flood Hazard Analysis.....	2-2
Existing Condition Flood Exposure Analysis	2-16

Existing Condition Vulnerability Analysis	2-52
Summary of Existing Conditions Flood Exposure and Vulnerability Analyses	2-59
Task 2B – Future Condition Flood Risk Analyses.....	2-63
Future Condition Flood Hazard Analysis	2-63
Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis.....	2-116
Future Condition Vulnerability Analysis	2-139
Summary of Future Conditions Flood Exposure and Vulnerability Analyses	2-143
Chapter 3: Floodplain Management Practices and Flood Protection Goals.....	3-1
Task 3A – Evaluation and Recommendations on Floodplain Management Practices (361.35)	3-1
Extent to which Current Floodplain Management and Land Use Practices Impact Flood Risks.....	3-1
Future Flood Hazard Exposure	3-13
Consideration of Recommendation or Adoption of Minimum Floodplain Management and Land Use Practices	3-15
Task 3B – Flood Mitigation and Floodplain Management Goals (361.36).....	3-20
Flood Mitigation and Floodplain Management Goal Categories	3-20
Goals	3-21
Benefits and Residual Risk after Goals are Met	3-29
Consideration of Minimum Recommended Flood Protection Goal.....	3-31
Goals Applicable to HUC-8 Watersheds	3-31
Short-Term Goals (10 years) and Long-Term Goals (30-years)	3-32
Chapter 4: Assessment and Identification of Flood Mitigation Needs.....	4-1
Task 4A: Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis	4-1
Process and Scoring Criteria	4-1
Scoring Example.....	4-9
Analysis Results.....	4-10
Task 4B: Identification and Evaluation of Potential Flood Management Evaluations, Potentially Feasible Flood Management Solutions, and Flood Mitigation Projects	4-15

Process to Identify Flood Management Evaluations, Solutions, and Flood Mitigation Projects	4-15
Classification of Potential Flood Management Evaluations and Potentially Feasible Flood Management Solutions and Flood Mitigation Projects.....	4-16
Evaluation of Potential Flood Management Evaluations	4-21
Evaluation of Potentially Feasible Flood Mitigation Projects and Flood Management Solutions	4-31
Potential Funding Sources	4-50
Chapter 5: Recommendation of Flood Management Evaluations, Flood Management Strategies, and Associated Flood Mitigation Projects	5-1
Trinity Regional Flood Planning Group Evaluation and Recommendation Process.....	5-1
Sponsor Outreach	5-6
Flood Management Evaluations.....	5-7
Flood Management Projects	5-10
Flood Management Strategies	5-16
Chapter 6: Impact and Contribution of the Regional Flood Plan	6-1
Task 6A – Impacts of the Regional Flood Plan	6-1
Summary of Flood Risk Reduction	6-2
Effects of Regional Flood Plan Implementation	6-9
Socioeconomic and Recreational Impacts of the Regional Flood Plan	6-10
Summary of Regional Flood Plan Impacts	6-12
Task 6B – Contributions to and Impacts on Water Supply Development and the State Water Plan	6-17
Contribution of the Regional Flood Plan on Water Supply Development	6-17
Anticipated Impacts to the State Water Plan.....	6-19
Chapter 7: Flood Response Information and Activities	7-1
Types of Flooding in the Trinity Region	7-1
The Four Phases of Emergency Management.....	7-2
Flood Preparedness, Response, and Recovery in the Trinity Region	7-3
Relevant Entities in the Trinity Region	7-6

Entities in Preparation of a Flood Event.....	7-11
Plans to be Considered	7-13
Potential Regulatory Recommendations.....	7-16
Chapter 8: Legislative, Administrative, and Regulatory Recommendations	8-1
Legislative Recommendations	8-1
Regulatory or Administrative Recommendations	8-1
Flood Planning Recommendations.....	8-4
Funding Recommendations.....	8-4
Chapter 9: Flood Infrastructure Financing Analysis.....	9-1
Sources of Potential Funding for Flood Management Activities.....	9-1
Flood Infrastructure Financing Survey	9-12
Chapter 10: Public Participation and Plan Adoption	10-1
Outreach to Cities, Counties, and Other Entities with Flood-Related Authority or Responsibility.....	10-3
Meetings with Local Political Subdivisions with Flood-Related Authority.....	10-10
Meetings with Other Entities and Interested Parties.....	10-10
Outreach to the Public.....	10-11
Digital Media: Website and Twitter	10-11
Informational Handouts	10-12
PowerPoint Slideshow Presentation	10-12
Press Releases and Media Advisories.....	10-13
Public Hearings, Public Meetings, and Open House Roadshow.....	10-14
Public Input.....	10-17
Plan Adoption and Approval Process	10-18
Conformance with Title 31 TAC §362.3 Guidance Principles	10-19

List of Figures

Figure ES.1: Trinity Regional Flood Planning Area	1
Figure ES.2: Trinity Region Existing Conditions Floodplain Quilt.....	8
Figure ES.3: Trinity Region Potential Expanded Risk between Existing and Future Conditions Flood Hazard	10
Figure 1.1: Image of Flooded Gas Station in Grand Prairie, TX in 1976.....	1-1
Figure 1.2: Outreach Efforts and Contacts Made	1-4
Figure 1.3: Outreach Efforts to Trinity Region Entities.....	1-4
Figure 1.4: Trinity Region Flood Planning Area.....	1-6
Figure 1.5: Five Primary Streams and Tributaries of the Trinity River.....	1-8
Figure 1.6: Trinity River Basin Sub-Regions	1-11
Figure 1.7: Community Population Projections (2050)	1-12
Figure 1.8: Major Industry by Number of Business Establishments.....	1-15
Figure 1.9: Major Industry by Payroll	1-15
Figure 1.10: Major Industry by Revenue	1-16
Figure 1.11: Major Industry by County	1-17
Figure 1.12: Working Lands in the Trinity Region by Land Cover.....	1-19
Figure 1.13: Median Income by Census Tract.....	1-21
Figure 1.14: Social Vulnerability Index by Census Tract	1-23
Figure 1.15: Participation in National Flood Insurance Protection Program	1-25
Figure 1.16: Flood-Prone Areas	1-27
Figure 1.17: Image of Flooded Wards Building and Rooftops, Fort Worth	1-28
Figure 1.18: Disaster Declarations within Trinity Region, 2000-2021	1-30
Figure 1.19: Natural Flood Infrastructure	1-46
Figure 1.20: Texas Coastal Zone.....	1-49
Figure 1.21: Constructed Flood Infrastructure/Structural Flood Protection.....	1-50
Figure 1.22: Flooding, Trinity River Levees	1-51
Figure 1.23: Dam by County by Year of Construction.....	1-58

Figure 1.24: Levees by County by Year of Construction	1-59
Figure 1.25: Proposed or Ongoing Flood Mitigation Projects	1-61
Figure 2.1: Flood Risk Analyses Triangle Framework.....	2-2
Figure 2.2: Existing Conditions Model Availability.....	2-6
Figure 2.3: Major Documented Storm Events and Flash Flood Alley (1996 through 2019).....	2-7
Figure 2.4: Floodplain Quilt Data Sources	2-11
Figure 2.5: Existing Condition Floodplain Quilt	2-13
Figure 2.6: Existing Condition Flood Hazard Areas (in Square Miles) by County	2-14
Figure 2.7: Existing Condition Floodplain Quilt Data Gaps.....	2-17
Figure 2.8: Types of Flood Mitigation Strategies or Projects Currently in Progress or Proposed	2-19
Figure 2.9: Levees and Federal Emergency Management Agency Accreditation Status	2-21
Figure 2.10: Flowage Easement Area on Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood Insurance Rate Maps	2-25
Figure 2.11: Dams in the Trinity Region.....	2-26
Figure 2.12: Existing Condition Flood Exposure Total Numbers by County	2-29
Figure 2.13: Population at Risk in Existing Condition Flood Hazard by County.....	2-30
Figure 2.14: Building Type Distribution in the Existing Condition Floodplain Quilt	2-31
Figure 2.15: Residential Structure Counts in Existing Condition Floodplain Quilt	2-33
Figure 2.16: Non-Residential Structure Counts in Existing Condition Floodplain Quilt	2-34
Figure 2.17: Critical Facilities in Existing Condition Floodplain Quilt by County	2-35
Figure 2.18: Linear Miles of Roadway at Risk in Existing Condition Floodplain Quilt	2-37
Figure 2.19: Agricultural Land Distribution in the Trinity Region	2-39
Figure 2.20: Agricultural Land Exposure (in Square Miles) to Existing Condition Floodplain Quilt.....	2-41
Figure 2.21: Center for Disease Control Themes.....	2-53
Figure 2.22: Existing Condition Exposure and Social Vulnerability Index by County	2-54
Figure 2.23: Social Vulnerability Index Averages by County.....	2-56
Figure 2.24: Resiliency Rating by County.....	2-58

Figure 2.25: Flood Exposure and Social Vulnerability Index by County to Existing Condition Floodplain Quilt.....	2-60
Figure 2.26: Overall Risk Rating by County to Existing Condition Floodplain Quilt.....	2-62
Figure 2.27: Summary of the Current and Future Land Use and Land Cover Datasets.....	2-64
Figure 2.28: Integrated Climate and Land Use Scenarios Land Use Projections of 2020.....	2-66
Figure 2.29: Integrated Climate and Land Use Scenarios Land Use Projections of 2050.....	2-67
Figure 2.30: United States Geological Survey 2020 Land Cover Projection	2-69
Figure 2.31: United States Geological Survey 2050 Land Cover Projection	2-70
Figure 2.32: North Central Texas Council of Governments Land Use Projection in 2055.....	2-71
Figure 2.33: Texas Water Development Board Regional Water Planning Areas and the Trinity Region.....	2-73
Figure 2.34: Population Density of the Trinity River in 2020.....	2-74
Figure 2.35: Locations of the Five Selected National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Tide Gauges.....	2-77
Figure 2.36: Plot of the Mean Sea Level at the Five Tide Gauges	2-78
Figure 2.37: Plot of the Mean Sea Level at Gauge Galveston Pier 21 (8771510).....	2-79
Figure 2.38: Estimated Relative Sea Level Change Projections – Gauge: 8771450, Galveston Pier 21, TX (Parris, et al., 2012)	2-80
Figure 2.39: Estimated Relative Sea Level Change Projections – Gauge: 8771450, Galveston Pier 21, TX (Department of the Army, 2013)	2-81
Figure 2.40: Estimated Relative Sea Level Change Projections – Gauge: 8771450, Galveston Pier 21, TX (Hall, et al., 2016)	2-81
Figure 2.41: Estimated Relative Sea Level Change Projections – Gauge: 8771450, Galveston Pier 21, TX (Huber & White, 2017).....	2-82
Figure 2.42: Potentially Impacted Area in the Trinity Region Caused by the Increase of (A) 0.19 Meter Sea Level Rise, (B) 0.78 Meter Sea Level Rise by 2050	2-84
Figure 2.43: Land Subsidence Simulated by the Houston Area Groundwater Model (Liu, Li, Fasullo, & Galloway, 2020)	2-85
Figure 2.44: Chicot Aquifer Hydrograph	2-86
Figure 2.45: Locations of Major Reservoirs Analyzed.....	2-89
Figure 2.46: Typical Multipurpose Reservoir Design	2-90

Figure 2.47: Estimate of Flood Control Storage Capacity Remaining by 2053 – Representative Reservoirs	2-94
Figure 2.48: Locations of Natural Resources Conservation Service Dams	2-96
Figure 2.49: Section of a Typical Natural Resources Conservation Service Floodwater Retarding Structure	2-97
Figure 2.50: Estimate of Time to Lose Sediment Pool and Flood Control Pool Capacity due to Sedimentation – Representative National Resources Conservation Services Structures	2-99
Figure 2.51: Diagram of Channel Downcutting and Channel Widening (Adapted from Schumm et al, 1984)	2-104
Figure 2.52: Staged or Tiered Culvert Design Used in North Texas with Multiple Culvert Sizes and Flow Elevations	2-106
Figure 2.53: Case Study Locations	2-110
Figure 2.54: Future Condition 500-year Case Study Locations	2-114
Figure 2.55: Measurement Locations to Develop Potential Future Condition 500-year Flood Risk Buffer	2-115
Figure 2.56: Example of 2020-2023 Planning Cycle Range of Potential Future Condition Flood Risk Data	2-118
Figure 2.57: Potential Expanded Risk between Existing and Future Conditions Floodplain Quilt	2-122
Figure 2.58: Future Condition Flood Hazard Areas (in Square Miles) by County	2-125
Figure 2.59: Potential Future Condition Flood Exposure by County	2-126
Figure 2.60: Potential Population at Risk in Future Condition Floodplain Quilt	2-127
Figure 2.61: Building Type Distribution in the Future Condition Floodplain Quilt	2-129
Figure 2.62: Potential Residential Structures at Risk in Future Condition Floodplain Quilt....	2-130
Figure 2.63: Potential Non-Residential Structures at Risk in Future Condition Floodplain Quilt	2-131
Figure 2.64: Potential Non-Residential Structures at Risk in Future Condition Floodplain Quilt	2-132
Figure 2.65: Potential Critical Facilities at Risk in Future Conditions Floodplain Quilt	2-133
Figure 2.66: Linear Miles of Roadway at Risk in Future Condition Floodplain Quilt	2-134
Figure 2.67: Agricultural Land at Risk in Future Condition Floodplain Quilt	2-135

Figure 2.68: Future Condition Exposures Averaged by County	2-142
Figure 2.69: Future Condition Flood Exposures by County	2-144
Figure 3.1: Percentage of National Flood Insurance Program Participating Entities in Trinity Region	3-3
Figure 3.2: City Freeboard Requirements	3-6
Figure 3.3: Trinity Region Freeboard Requirements by County	3-7
Figure 3.4: Percentage of Entities that Require Higher Standards	3-8
Figure 3.5: Survey Responses in Support of Potential Recommended Minimum Floodplain Management Standards	3-16
Figure 3.6: Survey Participants in Support of Recommending All Entities Participate in the National Flood Insurance Program or Adopting Equivalent Standards	3-17
Figure 3.7: Survey Participants in Support of Recommending the Regulation of Development in the Federal Emergency Management Agency Floodplain or Other Local Floodplain	3-17
Figure 3.8: Survey Responses for Potential Adopted (Required) Minimum Floodplain Management Standards	3-19
Figure 4.1: Example Task 4A Hydrologic Unit Code-12 Scoring	4-9
Figure 4.2: Distribution of Points and Total Score for Hydrologic Unit Code-12 Examples	4-12
Figure 4.3: Flood Risk Knowledge Gaps	4-13
Figure 4.4: Areas of Greatest Known Flood Risk	4-14
Figure 4.5: Potential Flood Risk Reduction Action Screening Process	4-21
Figure 4.6: Geographical Distribution of Potential Flood Mitigation Evaluations	4-25
Figure 4.7: Geographical Distribution of Potential Flood Mitigation Projects	4-32
Figure 4.8: Geographical Distribution of Potential Flood Mitigation Strategies	4-34
Figure 5.1: Trinity Regional Flood Planning Group Evaluation and Recommendation Process Timeline	5-2
Figure 5.2: Flood Management Evaluation Screening Process	5-3
Figure 5.3: Flood Management Project and Flood Management Strategy Screening Process ...	5-4
Figure 5.4: Map of Recommended Flood Management Evaluations	5-9
Figure 5.5: Map of Recommended Flood Management Projects	5-15
Figure 5.6: Map of Recommended Flood Management Strategies	5-19

Figure 6.1: Trinity Region Associated Regional Water Planning Groups	6-20
Figure 7.1: Four Phases of Emergency Management	7-2
Figure 7.2: Flood Response Measures	7-4
Figure 7.3: Measures to Promote Resilience	7-5
Figure 7.4: Flood Event Entities	7-12
Figure 7.5: Floodplain Management Practices	7-15
Figure 9.1: Local Funding Sources Utilized by Communities in the Trinity Region	9-3
Figure 9.2: Entities within the Trinity Region that have a Stormwater Utility	9-4
Figure 9.3: State and Federal Funding Sources Utilized by Local Communities in the Trinity Region.....	9-6
Figure 9.4: Flood Infrastructure Financing Survey Example	9-13
Figure 10.1: Online Data Collection Tool	10-6
Figure 10.2: Methods Used for June – July 2021 Data Collection and Related Public Outreach	10-6
Figure 10.3: Image of the Interactive Web Map Prior to June – July 2021 Public Input.....	10-7
Figure 10.4: Image Showing Public Input Received from Data Collection Process	10-8
Figure 10.5: Methods Used for February 2022 Interactive Web Map Public Outreach	10-8
Figure 10.6: Additional Public Input Received on Updated Interactive Web Map, February 2022	10-9
Figure 10.7: Image of the Survey Distributed to Sponsors of Potential Flood Mitigation Actions.....	10-10

List of Tables

Table ES.1: Existing and Future Condition Flood Hazard Analysis Approach	7
Table ES.2: Summary of Flood Mitigation Evaluations	13
Table ES.3: Summary of Recommended Flood Mitigation Projects	14
Table ES.4: Summary of Flood Mitigation Strategies	15
Table 1.1: Primary Streams and Tributaries of the Trinity River System.....	1-7
Table 1.2: Top 10 Fastest Growing Communities in the Upper Trinity Subregion	1-13
Table 1.3: Total Casualties and Property Damages Reported to National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.....	1-33
Table 1.4: Total Crop Damage Value (2000-2021).....	1-34
Table 1.5: Political Subdivisions with Potential Flood-Related Authority	1-36
Table 1.6: Role of Water Control and Improvement Districts and Levee Improvement Districts.....	1-36
Table 1.7: Summary of Flood Plan and Regulations Provided via Survey	1-37
Table 1.8: Number of Flood Plans and Land Use Regulations per Community.....	1-38
Table 1.9: Types of Flood Warning Measures based on Survey	1-40
Table 1.10: Number of Flood Control Dams by County.....	1-52
Table 1.11: Summary of Hazard Classification of Dams in the Trinity Region.....	1-53
Table 1.12: Number of Levees by County	1-55
Table 1.13: Condition of Dams.....	1-57
Table 1.14: Proposed Mitigation Projects by Type	1-61
Table 1.15: Flood Mitigation Projects by Hazard Mitigation Plan	1-63
Table 2.1: Precipitation Data Comparison	2-3
Table 2.2: Floodplain Quilt Data Hierarchy and Sources	2-9
Table 2.3: Percentage of Land Area in Existing Condition Floodplain Quilt by County.....	2-14
Table 2.4: Projects In-Progress with Dedicated Funding.....	2-18
Table 2.5: Levee Exposure by County	2-22
Table 2.6: Dam Exposure by County	2-26

Table 2.7: Exposed Bridge and Low Water Crossings in Existing Condition Floodplain Quilt ...	2-35
Table 2.8: Exposed Crop and Livestock Production Dollar Losses in Existing Condition Floodplain Quilt.....	2-39
Table 2.9: Direct, Indirect, and Total Building Losses by County.....	2-42
Table 2.10: Debris Generation by County.....	2-43
Table 2.11: Displacement and Shelter Requirements by County.....	2-44
Table 2.12: Highway Bridge Damages by County	2-46
Table 2.13: Vehicle Losses by County	2-47
Table 2.14: Wastewater Facility Losses by County.....	2-49
Table 2.15: Utility Losses by County	2-50
Table 2.16: Emergency Services Losses by County.....	2-51
Table 2.17: Commonly Used Resilience Analysis and Planning Tool Indicators and Datasets..	2-56
Table 2.18: Decadal Population Growth for Regions C and H Water Planning Areas from 2020 to 2050	2-71
Table 2.19: Decadal Population Growth for all the Counties in the Region C and Region H Water Planning Areas from 2020 to 2050.....	2-74
Table 2.20: Tide Gauges Along the Gulf Coast.....	2-76
Table 2.21: Estimated Relative Sea Level in Meters for 2020 and 2050 from Various Studies	2-81
Table 2.22: Trinity Region Range of Potential Future Rainfall Increase 2050-2060.....	2-86
Table 2.23: Estimate of Flood Control Storage Capacity Remaining by 2053 – Representative Reservoirs	2-91
Table 2.24: Estimated loss of Conservation Pool and Flood Control Pool Capacity due to Sedimentation – Detailed Calculations.....	2-94
Table 2.25: Estimate of Time to Lose Sediment Pool and Flood Control Pool Capacity due to Sedimentation – Representative Natural Resources Conservation Service Structures	2-99
Table 2.26: Estimated Loss of Sediment Pool and Flood Control Pool Capacity due to Sedimentation – Detailed Calculations.....	2-100
Table 2.27: Hydrology and Hydraulic Models by Project	2-107
Table 2.28: Future Condition Land Use Water Surface Elevation Comparison.....	2-110
Table 2.29: Trinity Region Future Rainfall Increase Water Surface Elevation Comparison	2-111

Table 2.30: Average Change in Horizontal Distance.....	2-114
Table 2.31: Existing and Future Condition Flood Hazard Analysis Approach	2-116
Table 2.32: Existing Development in Existing Condition Floodplain Quilt.....	2-118
Table 2.33: Estimated Future Development per County.....	2-120
Table 2.34: Percentage of County in Future Condition Floodplain Quilt	2-123
Table 2.35: Exposed Crop and Livestock Production Dollar Losses in Future Condition Floodplain Quilt	2-135
Table 2.36: Development Factor Per Unit Change in Population.....	2-137
Table 2.37: Estimated Building and Population in Existing and Future Floodplain (100-Year)	2-139
Table 2.38: Estimated Building and Population in Existing and Future Floodplain (500-Year)	2-140
Table 3.1: Summary of Freeboard Requirements for Communities in Trinity Region	3-5
Table 3.2: Trinity Region Cities and Counties Participating in Community Rating System Program	3-9
Table 3.3: Survey Participant Level of Enforcement of Floodplain Regulations.....	3-10
Table 3.4: Floodplain Management Practices for All Communities and Counties in the Trinity Region.....	3-11
Table 3.5: Survey Responses for Potentially Recommending Consistent Minimum Floodplain Management Standards	3-16
Table 3.6: Survey Responses for Potentially Adopting (Requiring) Consistent Minimum Floodplain Management Standards.....	3-18
Table 3.7: Goal Category 1. Improving Flood Warning and Public Safety Specific Goal Statements.....	3-22
Table 3.8: Goal Category 2. Improving Flood Analyses Specific Goal Statements	3-23
Table 3.9: Goal Category 3. Reducing Property Damage and Loss Specific Goal Statements...	3-24
Table 3.10: Goal Category 4. Floodplain Preservation Specific Goal Statements	3-25
Table 3.11: Goal Category 5. Flood Infrastructure Improvement Specific Goal Statements ...	3-26
Table 3.12: Goal Category 6. Expanding Flood Education and Outreach Specific Goal Statements	3-27
Table 3.13: Goal Category 7. Expand Funding Specific Goal Statements.....	3-28

Table 3.14: Flood Planning Goals and Benefits.....	3-30
Table 4.1: Texas Water Development Board Guidance and Factors to Consider	4-2
Table 4.2: Task 4A Scoring Ranges: Areas Most Prone to Flooding that Threatens Life and Property	4-3
Table 4.3: Task 4A Scoring Range: Current Floodplain Management and Land Use Policies and Infrastructure	4-5
Table 4.4: Task 4A Scoring Range: Areas Without Adequate Inundation Maps	4-5
Table 4.5: Task 4A Scoring Ranges: Historic Flood Events.....	4-7
Table 4.6: Task 4A Scoring Ranges: Social Vulnerability Index Ratings	4-9
Table 4.7: Example Task 4A Hydrologic Unit Code-12 Scoring.....	4-11
Table 4.8: Local Plans, Manuals, and Ordinances Submitted to the Trinity Regional Flood Planning Group through the Survey	4-17
Table 4.9: Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood Insurance Studies.....	4-18
Table 4.10: Hazard Mitigation Plans	4-19
Table 4.11: General Flood Risk Reduction Action Types	4-20
Table 4.12: Flood Mitigation Evaluation Types and General Description	4-23
Table 4.13: Citywide Drainage Master Plan Cost Estimate Ranges	4-27
Table 4.14: Summary of Flood Mitigation Project Types	4-33
Table 4.15: Summary of Flood Mitigation Strategy Types.....	4-35
Table 4.16: Flood Mitigation Strategy Cost Estimates Assumptions	4-44
Table 5.1: Summary of Recommended Flood Management Evaluations	5-8
Table 5.2: No Negative Impact Determination for Potentially Feasible Flood Mitigation Projects.....	5-13
Table 5.3: Summary of Recommended Flood Management Projects.....	5-15
Table 5.4: Summary of Recommended Flood Management Strategies.....	5-18
Table 6.1: Summary of Impacts of Recommended Flood Mitigation Projects to Flooding in the Trinity Region for the 1-percent Annual Chance Event Flood	6-3
Table 6.2: Flood Exposure Reduction of Flood Mitigation Strategies in the Trinity Region.....	6-5
Table 6.3: Summary of Existing Flood Risk Exposure in the Trinity Region.....	6-8
Table 6.4: Regional Water Planning Areas within the Trinity Region.....	6-20

Table 6.5: Major Existing Reservoirs Associated with the Trinity Region	6-21
Table 8.1: Legislative Recommendations for the Trinity Region	8-2
Table 8.2: Regulatory and Administrative Recommendations for the Trinity Region.....	8-3
Table 8.3: State Flood Planning Recommendations for the Trinity Region.....	8-5
Table 9.1: Common Sources of Flood Funding in Texas	9-2
Table 10.1: Current Trinity Regional Flood Planning Group Voting Members	10-2
Table 10.2: Current Trinity Regional Flood Planning Group Non-Voting Members	10-3
Table 10.3: Title 31 TAC §362.3 Guidance Principles and Regional Flood Planning Group Response Satisfying Said Principles	10-20

List of Appendices

Appendix A: TWDB-Required Tables

Appendix B: Geodatabase and Map Information

Appendix C: Floodplain Management Practices Memo and Email

Appendix D: Progression of Refining Goals

Appendix E: One-Page Summaries for Recommended Flood Mitigation Evaluations and Flood Management Strategies

Appendix F: One-Page Summary Reports for Recommended Flood Mitigation Projects and Details (Scope of Work, Components, Benefits, No Negative Impacts Assessment)

Appendix G: Opinion of Probable Costs for Recommended Flood Mitigation Projects

Appendix H: Informational Flyers

Appendix I: Oral Comments Received on the Draft Regional Flood Plan

Appendix J: Written Comments Received on the Draft Regional Flood Plan

Appendix K: Written Comments Received Prior to Submittal of Draft Regional Flood Plan