

Draft Regional Flood Plan Open House Informational Session Dayton, Texas August 29, 2022




Welcome and Introductions

Glenn Clingenpeel

Chair, Trinity Regional Flood Planning Group

Executive Manager, Technical Services and Basin Planning, Trinity River Authority



TECHNICAL CONSULTANT TEAM
Halff Associates, Inc. (Prime)
Freese and Nichols, Inc.
Nick Fang, Ph.D., P.E.
H2O Partners

Cooksey Communications



The Trinity Regional Flood Planning Group

Voting Members

Chad Ballard

Sano Blocker

Melissa Bookhout

Glenn Clingenpeel

Scott Harris

Rachel Ickert

Andrew Isbell

Jordan Macha

Galen Roberts

Matt Robinson

Lissa Shepard

Sarah Standifer

Interest Represented

Small business

Electric-generating utilities

Agricultural interests

River authorities

Water utilities

Flood districts

Public

Environment

Water districts

Industries

Counties

Municipalities



The Trinity Regional Flood Planning Group



Non-Voting Members	Organization Represented
Richard Bagans	Texas Water Development Board
Rob Barthen	Texas Department of Agriculture
Steve Bednarz	Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board
Justin Bower	Houston-Galveston Area Council
Ellen Buchanan	Region 5 Neches Flood Planning Group (liaison)
Fodd Burrer	Region 6 San Jacinto Flood Planning Group (liaison)
Jerry Cotter	US Army Corps of Engineers, Fort Worth Rep.
Bert Galvan	Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Diane Howe	Federal Emergency Management Agency
Lonnie Hunt	Deep East Texas Council of Governments
Edith Marvin	North Central Texas Council of Governments
isa McCracken	US Army Corps of Engineers, Galveston Rep.
Kris Robles	General Land Office
Andrea Sanders	Texas Division of Emergency Management
Greg Waller	National Weather Service / West Gulf River Forecast Center

Texas Parks and Wildlife Department




EVENT AGENDA

- Regional Flood Planning Overview
- Region 3 Trinity RFPG
 Accomplishments to Date
- Highlights of Draft Regional Flood
 Plan, Recommended Local Solutions
- Upcoming Schedule: Planning Milestones, Public Comment Opportunities
- General Q&A
- Breakout Stations: Learn More About Draft Plan, Visit With RFPG Representatives, Share Input

What is State and Regional Flood Planning?


Texas' State Flood Planning Process

- 2019: 86th Texas Legislature passed Senate Bill 8, providing new process for statewide flood planning
- Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) charged with implementation
- 15 regional flood planning groups (RFPGs)
- 12 interest categories represented
- First planning cycle started 2020, nearing end (future cycles: 5 years)
- Regional Plans to become part of State Flood Plan Sept. 2024



Regional Flood Planning Process

- Approach modeled after regional water planning
- Grassroots ("bottom up") approach
- Same scope of work for each RFPG
- Regional flood plans will roll up to become State Flood Plan
- Public process



Region 3 Trinity River Basin


 From Cooke County in north to Chambers County on Gulf Coast

• All or part of 38 counties

 Nearly 18,000 square miles, with almost 16,000 stream miles

• 30+ major lakes and reservoirs

Population approximately 8 million and rapidly growing



Draft Regional Flood Plan Overview

Regional Flood Plan Components

Existing & Future Conditions

Task 1 Introduce region

Task 2

Determine current and future flood risk

Task 3

Establish planning goals

Task 4

Identify potential solutions

Recommended Solutions

Task 5

Select recommended solutions

Task 6

Identify potential impacts

Task 7

Summarize flood response info

Task 8

Recommend improvements

Task 9

Identify funding sources

Task 10

Encourage public participation

Amended to Include

Task 11

Perform additional outreach


<u>Task 12</u>

Advance FMEs to FMPs

Task 13

Adopt amended plan

Schedule




Chapters 1 through 3

Overview of Region Current and Future Flood Risk Planning Goals

Basin-by-Basin: Working Lands

- Farming/ Crop Production
 - Predominant in Upper and Mid Basin areas
 - Concentrations of farming area in Liberty Co.
- Forestry
 - Predominant working land type in Lower Basin
 - Relationship to national forests and preserves
- Ranching
 - Prominent land use throughout basin
 - Largest concentration of ranching areas to NW of Metroplex and in Mid Basin area



Data Collection Website & Outreach

Community Representative?

Community Stakeholders in the RFPG process invlude individuals with flood-related responsibilities, such as County and Community officials and Staff as well as Federal, State, regional, and local authorities, utilities and districts.

By logging on with your email address and the password provided, you can help provide the RFPGs with localized knowledge of flood planning resources and validate a wide array of flood risk data. Through this data collection effort the RFPG is requesting community stakeholders:

- Provide information about your contact information and flood-related responsibilities.
- Verify collected flood information through an entityspecific backgrounder.
- Respond to questions to support the development of the regional flood plan.
- Verify and provide geospatial data through data uploads and web maps.

The RFPG appreciates any information you are able to verify and provide with the understanding that it may not be possible to provide response to all items.

Entity Login

Member of the Public?


Public Stakeholders in the RFPG process include general public individuals, groups, and organizations including non-profit and non-governmental organizations with an interest in providing information to support flood planning efforts.

By provding your name, address, and email address, you can help provide the RFPG with localized knowledge of flood prone areas and areas where flood mitigation is needed. Your contact information is used to document who is providing information in case we have any follow-up questions.

Name	
Address	
Email	/i


Key Findings: Existing Conditions

- Region-wide 1.32 million people displaced by 1% Annual Chance Event (ACE)
- Total value of exposed buildings\$636 billion
- Even more impact from 0.2% ACE
- Assessed impacts of flooding on socially vulnerable populations and community's ability to recover



Key Findings: Future Conditions

- Hard to assess because few communities map or model
 - RFPG recommended future 1%
 ACE floodplain as range between
 current 1% and 0.2% ACE events
 - RFPG recommended 40-foot max buffer for future 0.2% ACE floodplain
- Result: 29% more structures and 25% more people would be potentially impacted by future flood risk conditions



- (1) Improve flood warning and public safety
- (2) Improve flood analyses
- (3) Reduce property damage and loss
- (4) Preserve the floodplain
- (5) Improve flood infrastructure
- (6) Expand flood education and outreach
- (7) Expand funding

Chapters 4 and 5

Potentially Feasible Actions
Recommended Actions

Potential Actions

Flood Management Evaluations = FME = *studies*

Flood Mitigation Projects = FMP = *projects*

Flood Management Strategies = FMS = *plans*


The Draft Plan includes a variety of recommendations for each category, totaling over \$1 billion in recommended solutions.

FME: Region-Wide

 342 out of 356 recommended and included in Draft Plan

FME Type	FME Description	# of FMEs Recommended	Total Cost
Preparedness	Studies on Flood Preparedness	5	\$3,150,000
Project Planning	Previously Identified Drainage Projects and Flood Studies	228	\$60,937,000
Watershed Planning	Flood Mapping Updates, Drainage Master Plans, H&H Modeling, Dam and Levee Failure	108	\$79,879,000
Other	Dam Studies	1	\$2,000,000
	Total	342	\$145,966,000

- (1) Improve flood warning and public safety
- (2) Improve flood analyses
- (3) Reduce property damage and loss
- (4) Preserve the floodplain
- (5) Improve flood infrastructure
- (6) Expand flood education and outreach
- (7) Expand funding



FMP: Region-Wide

- 7 FMP fully evaluated
- All recommended and included in Draft Plan

- (1) Improve flood warning and public safety
- (2) Improve flood analyses
- (3) Reduce property damage and loss
- (4) Preserve the floodplain
- (5) Improve flood infrastructure
- (6) Expand flood education and outreach
- (7) Expand funding

FMP Name	Sponsor	Total Cost
Spring Meadows Estates Detention Pond Design	Sachse	\$1,868,000
West Irving Creek Phases 2, 3, and 4	Irving	\$98,746,000
Arlington VC(A)-1 Drainage and Erosion Improvements	Arlington	\$2,601,000
Lancaster/Foch Area Mitigation	Fort Worth	\$11,771,000
Linwood Park Flood Mitigation (Western Arlington Heights)	Fort Worth	\$50,523,000
Sunnyvale Urban Flooding Reduction Improvements - Area 1	Sunnyvale	\$4,560,000
Sunnyvale Urban Flooding Reduction Improvements - Area 2	Sunnyvale	\$5,701,000
		\$175,770,000




FMS: Region-Wide

 136 out of 143 recommended and included in Draft Plan

FMS Type	FMS Description	# of FMSs Recommended	Total Cost
Education and Outreach	Turn Around, Don't Drown Campaigns; NFIP Education; Flood Education; Dam Safety Education; Floodplain Regulatory Awareness	19	\$975,000
Flood Measurement and Warning	Flood Warning Systems; Rain/Stream Gauges and Weather Stations; Low Water Crossings (LWCs)	20	\$5,300,000
Infrastructure Projects	Hazardous Roadway Overtopping Mitigation Program; Citywide Drainage Improvements; Flood-Proofing facilities	5	\$430,000,00
Other	Debris Clearing Maintenance; Channel Maintenance and Erosion Control; Dam Inspections; Levee Inspections; City Parks; Green Infrastructure; Open Space Programs	12	\$8,525,000
Property Acquisition and Structural Elevation	Acquire High Risk and Repetitive Loss Properties; Acquire and Preserve Open Spaces; Flood-Proofing Facilities	28	\$295,500,000
Regulatory and Guidance	City Floodplain Ordinance Creation/Updates; Zoning Regulations; Land Use Programs; Open Space Regulations	52	\$6,600,000
	Total	136	\$746,900,000

- (1) Improve flood warning and public safety
- (2) Improve flood analyses
- (3) Reduce property damage and loss
- (4) Preserve the floodplain
- (5) Improve flood infrastructure
- (6) Expand flood education and outreach
- (7) Expand funding



FME Status: Lower Basin

• 27 out of 28 recommended and included in Draft Plan

FME Type	FME Description	# of FMEs Recommended	Total Cost
Project Planning	Previously Identified Drainage Projects and Flood Studies	17	\$4,730,000
Watershed Planning	Flood Mapping Updates, Drainage Master Plans, H&H Modeling, Dam and Levee Failure	10	\$7,225,000
	Local Area Total	27	\$11,955,000

FMS Status: Lower Basin

• 4 out of 4 recommended and included in Draft Plan

FMS Type	FMS Description	# of FMSs Recommended	Total Cost
Education and Outreach	Flood Education	1	\$60,000
Property Acquisition and Structural Elevation	Acquire High Risk and Repetitive Loss Properties; Flood-Proofing Facilities	2	\$35,000,000
Regulatory and Guidance	County Zoning Regulation Development	1	\$100,000
	Local Area Total	4	\$35,160,000

Chapters 6 through 9

Potential Impacts of Actions


Flood Response Summary

Recommended Planning Process Improvements

Potential Funding

Impacts of the Regional Flood Plan

- Total area in need of flood risk identification vs. total area to be evaluated by recommended FMEs
- ~70% of mapped areas considered outdated and/or approximate
- ~38,000 stream miles classified as outdated and/or approximate
- Draft Plan includes 35 county-wide
 FMEs to improve mapping coverage



Impacts of the Regional Flood Plan - FMP


- Exposure reduction after FMP implementation
- More FMPs to be included in the Amended Plan

Flood Exposure	Existing Conditions	After FMP Implementation	Exposure Reduction from FMPs	
	1% ACE	1% ACE	1% ACE	
Exposed Structures	1,500	1,108	392	
Exposed Population	37,593	33,421	4,172	
Exposed Low Water Crossings	9	2	7	
Number of Road Closure Occurrences	253	192	61	
Road Length (Mi.)	31	23	8	

Contributions/Impacts on State Water Plan

Impacts of State Flood Plan on State Water Plan

- Recommended FMSs or FMPs will not have measurable contribution or have negative impact on water supply or water availability
- None of recommended FMSs or FMPs impact operation of existing water supply reservoirs
- Recommended FMSs and FMPs not anticipated to have measurable impact on water supply, water availability, or projects in State Water Plan



Financing Analysis – Who will pay?



Funding surveys sent to Sponsors on 6/7/2022 and 6/14/2022



14% Sponsor response rate (22 of 158) (as of 7/5/2022)



Overall, total cost of **\$1,076,686,000** needed to implement recommended FMEs, FMSs, and FMPs



From total cost, projected **\$961,274,000** of state and federal funding is needed

Chapter 10 Public Participation

Public Participation

Numerous public meetings of RFPG and Technical Subcommittee, including hybrid meetings across region


Meeting notices and materials posted to website and Texas SOS, and sent via MailChimp to regional stakeholders / interested parties

Maintenance of stakeholders / interested parties database with nearly 850 unique email addresses and nearly 1,100 individual contacts

- City and county officials
- State, federal and other entities with flood planning responsibilities
- Public / interested party sign-ups from website

Development / use of award-winning website






Public Participation

Social media (Twitter) content posted regularly

Press releases and regional media outreach

Development of PowerPoint overview presentation

Presentations to various regional organizations



Public Participation

Postcard mailers to augment email outreach

Follow-up phone calls to stakeholders

Online data gathering survey/tool with interactive webmaps to confirm current flood risk, identify gaps

Handout materials – flyers and one-pagers

Postcard Aug. 2022 encouraging review of Draft Plan, promoting upcoming events and public comments

In-person, open house "roadshow" to showcase Draft Plan, answer questions, at region-wide sites

Public meeting to take public input on Draft Plan

Subsequent public meetings to consider public and TWDB input, revise and finalize Regional Flood Plan





This newly formed group is responsible for developing the first-ever Regional Flood Plan for the Trinity River Basin. We need your help! Ultimately, this planning effort is important and relevant for you because projects included in the Regional Flood Plan will be better able to access future State funding.

The Timis PFD (side Region 3) is among 15 regional Bood paring Groups stakewise designated by the Tools Water Development Bood. Sparing aegion from Cooke Courly in the north to Ohanbers Court with Garden Bood Plant by January 2023, which will become part of Tead's Regional Rood Plant by January 2023, which will become part of Tead's resistance State Bood Plant 2023. The Timity Rood Plan will comprise local Bood mitigation studies and projects that represent the plans of the local Bood mitigation studies and projects that represent the plans of the local Bood mitigation.

We have prepriet an affection of data collection tool that is a wallable https://finhity.half.com/ and frough the child comment page on our vest bale, www.trinityripp.org. We need your input and local flood related data to develop as flood plan that represents your flood planm resources and flood-related rates you rare ask. We have also developed interactive with map (also available through the Initia abovel) on which can identify specific flood-prove and the properties of the properties

The data collection effort is designed for you to input whatever floorordered data and information you may hew. Reports, may sandmored can be uploaded to the site. Alternatively, it is information can be man to the Thirty lead of contraints! relat "Associates, in call addition Official Circle to the Thirty of the Contraints! relative contraints in the Contraints of the be a corne and gift light both relative, can inswer grashiosin one daand come between and answer of their questions another day. You can also "lovide and conques" by sending countries with the size the relevant side. In the and asking plant to amove greatly questions. encourage you to gather up the following information (if available):

- Floodplain ordinano
- Development regular
- Land use plans
- Hydraulics and
 - and projects (flood-relat nodels • Planned flood studie

To ensure you receive the survey and other future e-updates, we ask that you be sure to add **info@trinityrfpg.org** to your approved or recognized list of email addresses. As the Trinity RFPG begins this data collection

in you have any questions or concerns about the data collection tool and/or interactive web map, please contact Project Manager Stephanie Griffin with Halff Associatos, Inc. at (817) 833-5704 or spriffin@halff.com, or you can also email us at info@krinityrfpg.org.

with others who may have an interest in Trinity Regional Flood Plann and encourage them to visit the website when it launches.

planning effort!

Regional Flood Plan Adoption Timeline

September 8:
Public input
meeting

October 10:
Public
comments due

Nov/Dec 2022: Plan revisions, final approval

January 2023: Regional Plan due to TWDB

Upcoming Public Participation Opportunities

Submit comments on Draft Regional Flood Plan by Oct. 10

Web: www.trinityrfpg.org

- Review Draft Plan on Planning Documents page (sort by "2022 Draft Plan")
- Submit comments via form on Public Comment page

Email: info@trinityrfpg.org

Mail:

Halff Associates, Inc.

Attn: Stephanie Griffin

4000 Fossil Creek Blvd.

Fort Worth, Texas 76137-1422



Draft Appendices Draft Chapter 1 To To				
Document	Category	Size	Meeting Date	Upload Date
Draft Trinity Regional Flood Plan - Cover and Table of Contents	2022 Draft Plan	1.53MB	2	07/12/2022
<u>Draft Trinity Regional Flood Plan – Executive Summary</u>	2022 Draft Plan	1.52MB	07/21/2022	07/12/2022
<u>Draft Trinity Regional Flood Plan – Chapter 1</u>	2022 Draft Plan	8.25MB	07/21/2022	07/12/2022
<u>Draft Trinity Regional Flood Plan - Chapter 2</u>	2022 Draft Plan	19.14MB	07/21/2022	07/12/2022
Draft Trinity Regional Flood Plan - Chapter 3	2022 Draft Plan	2.51MB	07/21/2022	07/12/2022
Draft Trinity Regional Flood Plan - Chapter 4	2022 Draft Plan	1.92MB	07/21/2022	07/12/2022
Draft Trinity Regional Flood Plan - Chapter 5	2022 Draft Plan	1.42MB	07/21/2022	07/12/2022
Draft Trinity Regional Flood Plan - Chapter 6 - revised	2022 Draft Plan	1.02MB	-	07/12/2022
B 671 / B 1 151 151 01 1 7	0000 D (1 D)	4 440 415	07/04/0000	0714010000

Document Nam

2022 Draft Plan 1.34MB 07/21/2022

Regional Planning Process Questions?

Breakout Stations

- Visit with consultant team and RFPG members, ask questions
- Review interactive floodplain webmap, mark local flooding issues
- Review maps / tables of local recommended flooding solutions
- Review hard copy of Draft Regional Flood Plan
- Access RFPG website to view Draft Plan, sign up for e-updates, complete Public Comment form
- Submit written comment cards



Web: www.trinityrfpg.org

Email: info@trinityrfpg.org

Twitter: @TrinityRFPG

Technical Consultant:

Halff Associates, Inc.

Attn: Stephanie Griffin

4000 Fossil Creek Blvd.

Fort Worth, Texas 76137-1422

sgriffin@halff.com

817-813-5704

Planning Group Chair:

Glenn Clingenpeel clingenpeelg@trinityra.org

817-467-4343